

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 44 No. 13

SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1964

1/3 Fortnightly

CORRECTION, PLEASE!*

ITEM: Excerpts from an Article by Benjamin Spock, M.D., in *Redbook* magazine, August 1964:

The UN has worked fairly effectively since its founding to keep the peace.

CORRECTION: Since 1945 when the UN was founded, exceptions to Dr. Spock's statement would include: China, Tibet, Korea, Laos, Cambodia, Vietnam, Malaya, Indonesia, Hungary, Berlin, Czechoslovakia, The Congo, Algeria, Cuba, Kenya, Somalia, Yemen, Dominican Republic, and Iraq.

Children can join organisations such as the American Association for the United Nations, the United World Federalists, the Committee for a Same Nuclear Policy, the Student Peace Union, and contribute their dimes and dollars. (It gives them great pride to belong to real organisations.)

CORRECTION: And in Dr. Spock's list of real organisations, the children will have the opportunity to meet many of the country's most inveterate Communist-fronters and sponsors of Communist-originated projects.

Another disservice to our children, I think, is the tendency of many Americans to see only our side in the disputes and conflicts that arise between us and the Communist nations. . . . We owe it to ourselves and our children to take a more balanced view.

CORRECTION: Dr. Spock wishes balanced thinking between the crimes of the international Communist conspiracy and the ideals of those who are willing to pledge their lives for God and this country.

ITEM: From an Editorial "Let's Open The Door To [Red] China", *Saturday Evening Post*, July 25—August 1, 1964:

It is inevitable that trade with [Red] China must come, since a nation of 700 million people will not remain cut off from the world. . . . The time has come to reopen the door of trade. [Red] China, seeking to industrialise itself, has vast needs—for food, for transportation, for the great dams and hydro-electric plants which General Electric, Ford and others helped Soviet Russia build in the 1930's.

CORRECTION: Trade with Red China is not inevitable, if the U.S. only learns from the same history cited by the Editors of *Saturday Evening Post*. The work done in the U.S.S.R. by "General Electric, Ford and others" was instrumental in preparing the Soviets for their onslaught on Finland, Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania, in the twilight of the 1930's decade.

ITEM: From *Time* magazine, July 31, 1964:

In southern France [1944], the Communists had seized power in major cities, but de Gaulle's well-schooled lieutenants eased them out with a minimum of bloodshed.

CORRECTION: We refer to "Blood Bath in France", by Donald B. Robinson, *American Mercury*, April 1946:

"As a member of the Civil Affairs Headquarters of the American Seventh Army, stationed in Marseilles during and after the liberation, I personally witnessed the Communist terror which reigned in southern France after the defeat of the Nazi armies; and I can state that the seeds of today's explosive hates were sowed in that terror and fed by the blood of its victims. Officers of the *Sécurité Militaire* have told me that they estimate the number of these victims at fifty thousand. Most of them were executed by the Communists.

"During those months in the summer and autumn of 1944, revolution almost engulfed the south of France, and the Communists were doing everything in their power to foment it. That they did not succeed was largely due to the restraining presence of the American Army."

The blood bath continued into 1945, and the distinguished French journalist Sisley Huddleston wrote (*France: The Tragic Years, 1939-1947*):

"The American services put the figures of 'summary executions' in France in the first months of the Liberation at 90,000. A former French minister later placed the figure at 105,000.

"The Minister was M. Adrien Tixier, a Socialist, who in March 1945, when he was minister of the interior and, therefore, presumably acquainted with the facts, told 'Colonel Passy', one of the chief agents of de Gaulle, that from August 1944 to March 1945 there had been 105,000 executions."

ITEM: From an Article by Roy Wilkins in the *New York Times Magazine*, August 16, 1964:

After the convention, Senator Goldwater, already supported by the John Birch Society. . . .

CORRECTION: The John Birch Society has never supported any candidate of any party for any political office.

(continued on page 4)

* Extracts, reprinted with permission, from **CORRECTION, PLEASE!** and **A Review Of The News** which is published weekly by Correction, Please!, Inc., 395 Concord Avenue, Belmont, Massachusetts 02178, U.S.A.

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The Social Credit Secretariat is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Home and abroad, post free: One year 40/-; Six months 20/-; Three months 10/-.

Offices—Business:

5 New Wanstead, Wanstead, London E.11.

Editorial: PENRHYN LODGE, GLOUCESTER GATE, LONDON N.W.1.

Telephone: EUSton 3893.

IN AUSTRALIA—

Business—Box 2318V, G.P.O., Melbourne.

Editorial—Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, Australia (Editorial Head Office).

THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT

Personnel—Chairman: Dr. B. W. Monahan, 4 Torres Street, Red Hill, Canberra, Australia. Deputy Chairman: British Isles: Dr. Basil L. Steele, Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London N.W.1. (Telephone: EUSton 3893). Liaison Officer for Canada: Monsieur Louis Even, Maison Saint-Michel, Rougemont, P.Q. Secretary: H. A. Scoular, Box 3266, G.P.O. Sydney, N.S.W.

FROM WEEK TO WEEK

The original Social Credit proposals were a technique derived from a policy which was founded in a philosophy. As the world was in 1919 these proposals seemed to be a panacea, because it appeared that the financiers did not know what they were doing. But after some years of exposition, Major Douglas came to the conclusion—on which from then on he based his strategy—that they knew very well what they were doing, and fully intended the consequences of their actions, including Global War as a preliminary to World Dominion. This conspiracy has made such appalling progress that we have now a world very different from that of 1919, which could no longer be restored by a technique. The contemporary world is a battleground, and the first necessity is to win the battle.

During the Second World War, Major Douglas advised us to find the cleavage line in the cultural war. That line has now become apparent, and as is to be expected, it cuts clean across political parties where these exist, and where they do not, it lies between the rulers and the ruled. In the Parties, it lies, not quite so easily to be seen, between what is coming to be called the Establishment, and the rank and file members. The Establishments are merely alternative Administrations seeking control of government whose power none of them desires or intends to diminish; they want only the exercise of that power. And ever more deeply within these Establishments are the agents and members of the Conspiracy which has brought all this about—the obverse and reverse of which are Finance and Communism.

The vitally important factor in the situation is that its nature is becoming apparent, and that the opposition to Conspiracy is becoming self-conscious. It knows, even if it does not know how it has come about, that the power of government has increased, is increasing, and is leading to the police-state. That is why, in a few months only, John Stormer's book *None Dare Call It Treason* has sold well over a million copies—which means, probably, that twice that number have read it; probably one in fifty or sixty of the adult population of the U.S.A. We have come to believe that the Conspiracy has always been self-conscious, but a self-conscious and growingly informed opposition to conspiracy is something new in history, at least on the present scale. And this is precisely the situation that Douglas sought to bring about.

56

Superficially, it might appear that the Opposition would not know what to do with victory if it achieved it. But there are plenty of technical experts in the employ of the Conspiracy who would be perfectly capable of rectifying present economic and other disorders if they received the right orders from the right quarters, backed by sufficient determination to get the desired results. Douglas once suggested locking up selected bankers, and leaving them locked up until they produced a remedy for the ills of those times. Later, he suggested essentially the same, but considerably more drastic measures for more dangerous times; and the situation is very much worse now.

Now, if we want a better world, we must be quite clear as to what constitutes a better world, and why we have not got it now. Next we must be clear in our determination to have it. Then we must remove the obstacles to its achievement. Only then shall we be in a position to issue the necessary orders to those with the technical capacity to carry them out. But to plan at this stage how we would run the enemy's territory if we (or others) captured it, is both premature, and disruptive.

Drawing the Line

"We have no alternative but to keep the balance between an appeasement which would betray us by weakness and a brinkmanship which would destroy us by miscalculation. On this tightrope above the abyss, we cannot indulge in adolescent showmanship or Chinese acrobatics."

The above is from an address by Adlai Stevenson to the annual convention dinner of the American Bar Association, reported in the *New York Herald Tribune*, August 14 and 15, 1964.

Either Communism intends to conquer the world, as it has always said it will, or it has given up that objective—and if the latter, where is the threat? If the former, then it has to be stopped. If, for example, the defence of South-east Asia is "vital" to America, what happens if South-east Asia falls?

The line is *not* a tightrope across an abyss; it is a line of battle, on differing segments of which the enemy is constantly making advances. Mr. Stevenson's line is to conceal this fact by making us feel dizzy.

What We Face

"Peaceful co-existence" is at once a myth and a dream—a myth propagated by the Communists, and a dream of the sleeping bourgeoisie. But it will never become a nightmare. For if we do not awake ourselves, the Communists will awaken us to a bloody reality.

To assist an awakening, the booklet *No Co-existence* is available from K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 5 New Wanstead, London E.11, price 1/- plus 3d. postage.

What We Face is now printing and will shortly be available at the following prices:

| | |
|--------------------|---------------------|
| Single copies | 1/3d. postage paid |
| Twelve copies | 9/-d. postage paid |
| Twenty-five copies | 17/-d. postage paid |
| Fifty copies | 32/6d. postage paid |
| One hundred copies | 63/-d. postage paid |

August the Fourth

The Romans had a saying, "I fear the Greeks when they bring gifts" (*Timeo Danaos dona ferentes*), which is worth recalling as co-existence rivals interdependence as the "key-stone" of an extraordinarily shaky foreign policy. We know that you should not look a gift horse in the mouth, that Trade not Aid is modern business, and that Khrushchev has an apparently pleasant smile.

Yet fifty years ago the conflict ignited that resulted in the most inexorable of tyrannies and in a series of massacres and expatriations that has decimated the more intelligent of the human species and has stunned the rest. The deathroll is mounting in Northern Rhodesia among the adherents of a small and originally pacific sect, who have been goaded into violence by the demands of an absolutist state, for under such rule no one can be Laodicean or cool towards the monarch.

Minorities—at one time even Assyrian Christians, I heard—appeal to the communists as a lever with which to gain control, but they have no place in a tyranny. Tolerance and other civilised virtues again serve as an umbrella for the subversives who are quick to brand anyone suspicious as a "McCarthyite". The British protected minorities are nowhere safe now that we have handed over effective control, for unchecked power allows no questions.

So, while at this time one recalls the mass slaughter of the First World War and the atrocities of Naziism, no velvet glove should persuade us that the tyranny which tortured Hungary is willing to renounce its methods or its aims, or that it will respect anything but strength.

B. Russell wrote the other day to *The Daily Telegraph* to announce that the Negroes of the riot areas of America have real grievances, and doubtless he will next be calling for sympathy for our own Mods and Rockers. He is the sort of friend from whom, if I were a Negro, I should devoutly pray to be spared. But Russell typifies the half-baked interfering Briton who presumes, after instigating chaos and misery wherever possible, and throwing the door wide open to tyranny, to advise other minorities how to lose their privileges.

Whatever may be thought of the New Testament, the protagonist was a master of invective. He warned against "casting your pearls before swine", and he said that the whores and tax mongers were better than the "whited sepulchres" who adorned society. The pearls that we are so wantonly throwing away include this tolerance, which cannot be extended to subversive conspiracy and survive, for our civilisation, or what remains of it, is being attacked root and branch; and the whited sepulchres include those in responsible positions who remain indifferent to the indefinite weakening of this culture.

I was glad to read a letter from a coloured man who protested vigorously against the one-sided view given by the B.B.C. television of the position of coloured men in England. The B.B.C. had, of course, given the false picture that any coloured man was a target for persecution, etc.

People are not usually killed for their own benefit, and the gigantic machinery of slaughter that rolled into operation on August 4, 1914, was designed to destroy a way of life by a kind of global civil war. The valour and nobility displayed served to postpone the end, but few took advantage of that unique breathing-space so that a second conflict further strengthened the subversives. Peter Simple recently asked in his column in *The Daily Telegraph* how it happened that Roosevelt led the Western nations so much to the advantage of Stalin. Part of

the answer perhaps is that he hated the British Empire, or that his entourage were determined to destroy it.

Senator Goldwater has received almost unparalleled attention from his enemies abroad, and they have been careful to let it be known, to avoid the charge of anti-semitism, that he is a half-Jew by race and an Episcopalian by religion: a fair target! I don't expect that the Republicans would waste time on Mr. Wilson or Mr. Brown. But Mr. Goldwater, as appears from a recent extract from his works in the *Sunday Express*, has no time for weakness.

A quarter of a century is perhaps an arbitrary portion of time, but it took just that period for the powers of evil to gather themselves, after 1914, to launch their second campaign. Another such period has elapsed. It is hardly a time for amiable weakness. The American electors probably agree.

—H.S.

Revolution

"Britain is expected to provide Kenya with millions of pounds in aid to enable the Kenya Government to purchase European farms in the former White Highlands. These will be turned into state farms and co-operatives run on the Communist bloc pattern; and established and supervised with the help of East European experts.

"It will be the largest resettlement scheme of its kind ever attempted in Africa, affecting about 2 million acres of European farms, and is likely to cost Britain as much as £20 million.

"The scheme is being planned by Kenya's South African-born Agriculture Minister, Mr. Bruce McKenzie. He has sent two British senior members of Kenya's agricultural board to Eastern Europe to obtain advice and assistance for establishing collective farming in Kenya."

—Richard Beeston, in the *Sunday Telegraph*, August 16, 1964.

Counter-Revolution

"... Goldwater described it best in his appearance before the platform committee.

"The free world's implacable enemy, communism, should be recognised as such, treated as such, denounced and defied as such, not aided with trade, not appeased with treaties and diplomatic soft-talk, not ignored as a 'myth', not accepted upon its own fraudulent description as a rehabilitated criminal."

—*Human Events*, August 8, 1964.

Now Available

"None Dare Call It Treason" by John A. Stormer
published by Liberty Bell Press—Price 5/6 plus 8d. postage
A Prophecy? Price 1/6 plus 6d. postage
No Co-existence Price 1/- plus 3d. postage

Books from the John Birch Society

The Politician, by Robert Welch. 14/- plus 2/- postage
Blue Book of the Society. 14/- plus 2/- postage

From K.R.P. PUBLICATIONS LTD.
5 New Wanstead, London E.11

CORRECTION, PLEASE! (continued from page 1)

ITEM: From *Newsweek*, August 3, 1964:

The spark [for the Harlem riots] was struck when an off-duty white police lieutenant named Thomas Gilligan shot and killed a 15-year-old Negro boy named James Powell in a sidewalk free-for-all downtown in Yorkville.

CORRECTION: The *Newsweek* account of this incident can only be interpreted as wanton murder by a trigger-happy police officer. We turn to *Time* magazine (July 31, 1964) for a clearer account:

A police lieutenant, off duty and in civilian clothes, heard . . . [a] ruckus, flashed his badge, ordered the youngsters to quiet down. He was Thomas Gilligan, 36, a 6-ft., 200-lb. veteran of 16 years on the force and the holder of 19 citations, including several awards for disarming dangerous suspects. According to the police report, the Powell boy went after Gilligan with a knife. Gilligan ordered him to stop, but Powell kept coming. Then, "in defense of himself", Gilligan fired three times. The third shot went wild—but the first two killed the boy.

ITEM: From a Report by George Story in the *San Diego Union*, August 11, 1964:

Marxists have made a basic miscalculation in assuming that time is on their side, Angier Biddle Duke, chief of protocol for the State Department, said here last night.

CORRECTION: The Marxists, as Mr. Duke calls them, can make a basic calculation that after 1939 the Communist Empire, within the brief time of twenty-two years, extended so greatly that in 1961, the late President John F. Kennedy said:

I feel I must inform the Congress that our analyses over the last ten days make it clear that—in each of the principal areas of crisis [around the world]—the tide of events has been running out and time has not been our friend.

In October 1962, J. Edgar Hoover summarised how the "Marxists" had advanced in a brief time:

The barbaric Communist empire now stretches from the wall of Berlin to the China Sea, from Asia's Mekong River to the Escambray Mountains of Cuba. This aggressive and atheistic force today controls one-third of the world's population and one-fourth of her land surface.

And, in 1964, Chairman Edwin E. Willis of the House Committee on Un-American Activities reminds us how the Communists have taken advantage of *time*:

Just 20 years ago, millions feared that a Nazi-Fascist dictatorship might rule the globe. Hundreds of thousands gave their lives before this fear was allayed. Today a world Communist dictatorship is a possibility that is far more than theoretical. How long a global dictatorship could maintain its grip over several billion humans in whose hearts the light of freedom burned is highly speculative. But that it might do so for a time—time enough to bring horrible suffering and the eclipse of all fundamental freedoms to every nation on the earth—is a real possibility.

We must face the fact that many more people dream of world conquest today than did in the days of Caesar, Genghis Khan, Napoleon—or even Stalin. These people are organised in the World Communist Movement, with affiliated Communist parties in over 90 nations. Khrushchev claims a formal membership of 40 million persons in this international conspiratorial organisation. Many, many more millions are fellow travellers, sympathisers, and collaborators with the movement.

ITEM: From an Article by Gerald L. Steibel in the *American Legion Magazine*, August 1964.

In January 1964, rebel leader Holden Roberto announced that his Angolan National Liberation Front would accept aid from [Red] China, and, in April it joined forces with the [Red] China-led radical group of Viriato da Cruz. This was a blow to the West, which regarded Roberto as a "moderate" it could safely back.

CORRECTION: A blow to the West? If the West ever had Roberto (which it did not), it has simply lost one of the most vicious Communists in Africa. As a youth, Roberto was indoctrinated into Communism by Belgian Communists in The Congo. And subsequently Roberto became associated with French Communists in France.

In 1955, in Leopoldville, The Congo, Roberto formed the Angola People's Union, which absorbed the Angola Communist Party. And, in Leopoldville, he disseminated Communist propaganda which was supplied to him by French and Belgian Communists. While serving as a Communist propagandist, Roberto allied himself with the Communist leaders of Guinea (Sékou Touré) and Ghana (Kwame Nkrumah).

In 1960, the Soviet Ambassador to Guinea, Daniil Semenovitch Solod, selected Roberto to be the "nationalist" leader of Angola. And, after a visit to Moscow, Roberto received guerrilla warfare training in Prague, Czechoslovakia and Leipzig, East Germany.

In March 1961, Roberto and his Angola People's Union, using the name Army of Liberation of Portuguese Africa, perpetrated a brutal massacre of over 1,000 whites and about 8,000 Africans in Portuguese Angola, which Brigadier General Frank L. Howley described as:

It all adds up to a picture of primitive, hideous terror reminiscent of the Mau Mau outrages in Kenya at their worst; a picture replete with gruesome episodes of fetishist body-chopping, ritual cannibalism and tribal hatred by men often under the excitement of drugs or incited by witch doctors. The savagery then unleashed is still raging today. Though its primary targets are whites and mulattoes, the vast majority of the murdered and maimed have been black Africans.

Syndicated columnist Robert Ruark said that the "massive murders and tortures were performed by a mob of drunken, dopefilled mercenaries recruited by Roberto, an arrogant Communist."

THE BRIEF FOR THE PROSECUTION

By C. H. DOUGLAS

8/6 (plus postage)

K.R.P. PUBLICATIONS LTD.

5 NEW WANSTEAD, WANSTEAD, LONDON E11.

Published by K.R.P. Publications Ltd., at 5 New Wanstead, Wanstead, London E11.

Printed by J. Hayes & Co. (T.U.) Woolton, Liverpool 25.