

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 46 No. 26

SATURDAY, MARCH 25, 1967

1s. 3d. Fortnightly

The Planners — Builders or Destroyers?

By C. H. DOUGLAS

(Originally published in *The Social Crediter*, January 4, 1941)

Lord Elton, one of those Labourers whose Apprenticeship was served at Rugby and Balliol, who combines mildly Left Wing affiliations with the Secretaryship of The Rhodes Trust, has been telling us that the New Order, British Edition, is already with us, and that its main features are readily observable.

My first reaction to this interesting, but not in fact novel, statement was that of the small girl who was asked to admire her new baby brother—"I hope you got him on approval, mummy, because I don't think he'll suit." My second and perhaps more relevant thought, was that either those powers to which Disraeli (and who should know better?) referred as real governors of the world, are getting careless, or they are getting nervous.

It is reasonably certain that the immense influence which has been wielded by such organisations as international finance and Freemasonry has, in the past, depended primarily on well-concealed conspiracy. The technique of banking has never been what it pretended to be, any more than Freemasonry has as its objectives anything revealed to the great mass of its dupes.

But no ordinary intelligent observer can fail to notice the emergence of an organised group in every country of strategic importance which announces that the New Order is upon us. In fact, most of us would be in some difficulty if asked to state precisely the war aims of Germany or Great Britain, as proclaimed, say, by Hitler for Germany, and Mr. Anthony Eden for Great Britain, if we were not allowed to use that phrase.

Not of course, the same brand, or with the same Directors. Dear me, no. But on examination, both showing quite remarkable maturity in preparation.

Yet I suppose that if the average Englishman or German had been asked a few years ago whether he wanted an army of officials, ostensibly paid by him, to plan a New Order for him, the reply would have been instant, and most probably profane. In substance, however, it would have amounted to an unqualified assertion that what he wanted above all other things was to be allowed to do a little planning for himself. So unmistakably was this feeling evident that Mr. Moses Israel Sieff's organisation, P.E.P., was driven to confess that, "Only in time of war, or under threat of war, can the British Government be persuaded to embark on comprehensive Planning." Similarly, the German population was persuaded to become, in effect, a gigantic edition of Port Sunlight, or the industrial colonies of Mr. Henry Ford, under threat of war.

Now numbers of people, including myself, have been at some pains to demonstrate that to separate war from the economic system, and in particular the monopolistic credit

system, under which the countries of the world without exception, carry on their business, is a proceeding of the same order of intelligence as to separate the spots from the disease of measles. It is quite true that the German Broadcasting Stations have, since the outbreak of the present phase of the war, put out a good deal of incisive, telling, and reasonably accurate criticism of the international credit monopoly and its relation to war-making. But their main theme is still the gospel of work—economic work, and the consequent necessity for German *Lebensraum*, so as to make and absorb work. Lord Elton for his part explains that the New Order will provide not equality, but equality of opportunity. What for? To compete for the choice places in a Planned Economy of work. And the *Times* tells us that the New Freedom will be, not freedom from interference (from which we may deduce that there will be still more interference) but freedom to work. So far as I am aware the Slave was always free to work.

I do not suppose that it is necessary to recapitulate all the arguments of the past twenty years which can be collectively termed the Poverty amidst Plenty thesis. All of us who take an interest in such matters know quite well that conscious and persistent sabotage and misdirection of production has been the outstanding economic feature of that period, coupled with every device of grinding taxation which would assist in reducing the number of economically independent individuals, and thus force still more of them into an already over-crowded Labour Market. It is beyond discussion that the policy which has been consciously pursued is that of making employment universal not of producing wealth with a minimum of work.

The military phase of the struggle which engages us at the present moment, is represented as being due to Hitler, or Mussolini, or Churchill. Does any sane person believe that the Socialism which in its main feature of the omnipotent state, is common to Germany, Italy and Great Britain, and from its very nature flourishes best in the unlimited orgy of war production can only throw up one Hitler, Mussolini, Stalin or Churchill?

Perhaps it may emerge from consideration of these things, that, not only was the military method of sabotage confidently anticipated, but it was desired, and is still desired as a requisite to the atmosphere of compulsion in which a New Order "of which the main outlines are already with us" could be installed and supported by irresistible force.

Now, I can easily imagine, that in spite of the hints which are to be found in Disraeli, and in many other well-informed sources of information, the idea of mysterious

(continued on page 4)

THE SOCIAL CREDITER FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which was founded in 1933 by Clifford Hugh Douglas.

The Social Credit Secretariat is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Home and abroad, post free: One year 40/-; Six months 20/-; Three months 10/-.

Offices: Business: 245 Cann Hall Road, Leytonstone, London E.11.

Editorial: Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London NW1

Telephone: EUSton 3893.

IN AUSTRALIA—

Business: Box 2318V, G.P.O., Melbourne.

Editorial: Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, Australia (Editorial Head Office).

THE SOCIAL CREDIT SECRETARIAT

Personnel—Chairman: Dr. B. W. Monahan, 4 Torres Street, Red Hill, Canberra, Australia. Deputy Chairman: British Isles: Dr. Basil L. Steele, Penrhyn Lodge, Gloucester Gate, London, N.W.1. Telephone EUSton 3893. Liaison Officer for Canada: Monsieur Louis Even, Maison Saint-Michel, Rougemont, P.Q. Secretary: H. A. Scoular, Box 3266, G.P.O., Sydney, N.S.W.

FROM WEEK TO WEEK

India, insofar as it became a political entity, was a British creation. Its history as such began on the last day of the sixteenth century, when Queen Elizabeth granted a charter to a London Company trading with the East Indies. From this flowed in due course the establishment by the British of a common administration for the great sub-continent, and the adoption of English as the common language of educated Indians, where previously the many races spoke about 200 languages. In these circumstances, British withdrawal was the death of India, reflected immediately by partition into "India" and "Pakistan" and conflict between these arbitrary units over Kashmir. The mystique of Ghandi and Nehru, and the stored momentum of British administration, delayed the disintegration of the corpse of the old India, but with the departure of the British spirit, the process of dust unto dust began, and now stands visible in the collapse of the Congress Party.

All this, of course, in the pursuit of world dominion by conspiratorial internationalists who, as Major Douglas wrote in a memorable article, care no more for the immolation of the peoples of a continent than for the death of a sparrow. The British Empire was the greatest barrier to world dominion, and its destruction the prime objective.

Douglas also wrote that while world dominion had not the slightest chance of ultimate success, it had every prospect of setting back civilisation by several centuries. And this is what it has done, with consequences in famines and crime gaining almost daily momentum. No wonder that Douglas considered that the apex of the conspiracy probably contacted Satanic forces. There is clearly worse to come. Civilisation is a slow and uncertain *growth* arising in the first place from a homogeneous culture but able, when sufficiently developed, to absorb non-homogeneous cultures. But growth, which takes place from a centre like the germinal point of an egg or a seed, cannot be replaced by international planning or external programmes of aid which indeed are lethal to organic growth.

All that could save the peoples of the continents from further immolation to the point of virtual destruction would be their re-colonisation from a secure economic and cultural base—an impossibility unless and until the Conspiracy

underlying the destruction of religious civilisation—that is to say, civilisation having its foundations in metaphysical reality—is itself destroyed.

The *Times* of February 21, 1967 reported the sentencing of one John Andrew Conradie in Salisbury, Rhodesia, on six charges, to which he pleaded Guilty, of conspiring by the use of explosives to damage European property and injure and kill Europeans, and generally of terrorist activities. Conradie was a former assistant lecturer at the University College of Rhodesia.

Following this, *The Times* reported a speech given by Mr. Bowden, the Commonwealth Secretary, at a parliamentary luncheon given in Melbourne, which it characterised as a "bitter attack against Mr. Smith's régime and its illegal seizure of independence 15 months ago." Mr. Bowden likened the Rhodesian Government to the Nazi government, with "the knock on the door at midnight by the security patrol, followed by arrest and detention without trial."

Judge Sparrow, who went to Rhodesia to see for himself; Douglas Reed, who did the same; and various other independent observers have all described Rhodesia as a completely peaceful country. It is so because the planned violence and terrorism on which the 'British' government relied for a pretext for the use of force was not allowed to occur. We do not know whether Mr. Bowden approved of the Stalin Russia's midnight knock on the door, or of the British wartime regulation 18B. But if he lived in a thatched cottage, and knew that there were terrorists planning to secure his entrances from the outside, and then set fire to his roof, he might welcome a return to 18B.

Insanity is the condition where the mind has lost touch with reality. Contemporary insanity, now very widespread, is largely the result of the brain-washing which goes under the name of education, but because it is so common, is not recognised for what it is. Belief in socialism is completely pathological, because socialism is contrary to human nature and behaviour, and requiring, by definition, the subordination of individuality, has to be enforced by the few on the many.

It is 'education' which has enabled the monstrous imposition of socialism, and at his investiture as Rector of Edinburgh University Mr. Malcolm Muggeridge said of education that it "had become a sort of mumbo-jumbo or cure-all for the ills of a godless and decomposing society . . . Whether it was juvenile delinquency, high-school pregnancies, or drug-addiction among Brownies, he said, the solution was always the same—more education." (*Times*, Feb. 17, 1967)

Mr. Muggeridge is further quoted as saying: "There is no doubt that we shall go on raising the school age, multiplying and enlarging our universities, increasing public expenditure on education until juvenile delinquency, beats and drug-addicts and general intimations of illiteracy multiply so alarmingly that, at last, the whole process is called in question."

A few sane and cultivated men and women do call the process in question; but the majority of leaders, many of them unrecognised paranoiacs, bent on imposing uniformity and technology on the masses, are accelerating the process which can only end in our destruction—as planned.

"The message of the *Protocols* is simple. It is that the Jews are not (as earlier anti-Semites had maintained) merely a verminous race of jugglers and magicians, whom God, for their crime in crucifying His Son, has condemned to wander as strangers in the world, disorganised, homeless and persecuted. On the contrary, they are a diabolical community held together in an invisible polity and aiming, under the secret, machiavellian central direction of their 'Elders', at the systematic penetration and ultimate domination of the Christian world."

Thus Professor Hugh Trevor-Roper, Regius Professor of Modern History at Oxford, in an article published in *Spectator* of Feb. 17, 1967. He is referring to *The Protocols of the Elders of Zion*.

This remarkable document is not in itself a "message". It is a carefully set forth and extraordinarily coherent plan for the conduct of a very long-term policy. In the form in which this plan was published by Sergei Nilus, in Russia in 1905, it appears that this is a Jewish plan, and thus could be, as Professor Trevor-Roper says it was, used as a basis of anti-Semitism—and also as a basis of discrediting any conspiratorial theory of history.

But the specific references to Jews can be left out of the text, and the plan still remains. If the events even of this century are considered in the light of that plan, they can be seen to be the virtually exact fulfilment of what in the *Protocols* are only projected intentions; and the existence of those intentions are the only credible explanation of the terrible condition in which contemporary society finds itself. The only alternative explanation—that these events have come about of their own accord and despite the best efforts of intellectuals and statesmen to prevent them—carries the implication that men cannot control events. But this carries the further implication that mankind's ultimate—and not too ultimate at that—future is completely hopeless. If we have a hope, it is that the plan delineated in the *Protocols* and elsewhere is in fact operative, because we might be able to deal with the plan, and, more importantly, the operators, whoever they may prove to be.

The *Protocols* are usually denounced as a forgery—a denunciation which to be valid would imply the existence of some genuine document which had been counterfeited. And in fact, there are genuine documents relating to conspiracy, much of the contents of which are to be found in the *Protocols*. These are documents seized by the Bavarian authorities in 1786 in a raid on the house of a suspected conspirator, and subsequently published under the title *Original Writings of the Order of the Illuminati**. So the *Protocols* may have been fabricated after a study of these, and similar, authentic documents. Nevertheless, the internal evidence of the *Protocols* is of a continuous and highly coherent plan brought up to date: what in some instances is projected in the *Original Writings* is taken as having been accomplished in the *Protocols* about a century later.

Presumably Professor Trevor-Roper would accept that the manifest activities of the Communist Parties throughout the world are related to the writings of Marx, Engels, Lenin and Stalin. These writings too embody material to be found in the *Original Writings*; but it would be difficult to substantiate a charge that the present state of the world owes nothing to the activities of the Communist Parties as co-

ordinated from Moscow. The *Original Writings*, and the *Protocols*, point to a beyond to those activities, one of which is the promotion of all forms of class and race hatred.

The Warmongers

Any stick does to beat South Africa. Recently they have used the American ships, Church newspapers and even a well-known sportsman. Nor do they forget Rhodesia, called "land of fear" in *The Guardian*, which mourns that "sadly too the Churches have been reduced to silence." While in England, some months ago, the *New Christian* editor wrote that "limited warfare may, in some circumstances, be the lesser evil and this again is our view in respect of Rhodesia."

One churchman, however, is not yet silenced, for the Ven. A. R. Lewis, Archdeacon of Inyanga, writes (to *The Church Times*, Feb. 17, 1967) about Rhodesian schools; "in this mission-district we have just been authorised by the Ministry of Education to open a new aided lower primary school and two new aided upper primary schools . . . African primary education is no longer to be a virtual monopoly of the missions, and surely this may be a good thing."

But the outcry against apartheid overlooks the fact, which Mr. Kosygin's visit should call to mind, that Russia has enforced "separate development" on the Germans, indeed on Europe, by the Berlin wall, and that such countries as North and South Korea and Vietnam have also been split by the communists.

On the other hand, advocates of integration should remember that Hungary, Estonia, Tibet and a list of other countries are now enduring integration of a brutal kind with the communist empires, and that while South Africa respects the independence of sovereign territories inside her boundaries, such toleration could not be imagined inside the red borders. Even non-committed India swallowed up Goa.

Unfortunately we have to deal with the sort of opposition which the American police discovered when they tried to crush the heroin sellers, for not only was the operation highly organised internationally, but it was controlled by the Mafia organisation with their peculiar code of loyalty and silence, and their total lack of conscience. The only criterion they understood was whether the operation was paying. The communist-financial hierarchy—with its dons and capos—has taken care of the money side and its criterion is whether any operation gives them more power, and reduces opposition. Mr. Kosygin's visit can only be judged in this light.

And the controversy continues. Mr. Bowden, British Commonwealth Secretary, helpfully said in Melbourne: "Rhodesia under the Smith régime is like Nazi Germany in the 1930's". John Biggs-Davison M.P. writes with more realism in answer to another M.P., "One might answer the question whether Rhodesia is 'a democracy or a police State' by another question: 'Which African State does not find it necessary to use arbitrary powers?'" Yet there was nothing arbitrary in the prosecution at Salisbury of John Conradie, who pleaded guilty "to six charges of conspiracy to commit murder, unlawful possession of Russian hand grenades and taking part in the activities of one of the outlawed nationalist organisations." The B.B.C., however, has found the solution of the whole problem, for we read a front page headline, "BBC to show mixed-race couple in bed." (*Daily Telegraph*, Feb. 21, 1967).

*For some details and references, see 'Antecedents of Communism', from K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 245 Cann Hall Road, London E11.

The Planners — Builders or Destroyers?*(continued from page 1)*

and perpetual organisation, constantly working to mould the social and economic systems to suit its own purposes, is regarded as merely romantic if not weak-brained. There is one feature, at least, however, of history which can easily be verified—a feature both curious, and difficult to explain apart from the existence of this hidden force. And that is the invariable appearance of some brand, or many brands, of mysticism, as a precursor of revolution. In the case of Cromwell, it was a horde of half-crazed fanatics with their special interpretations of the more bloodthirsty and revolting portions of the Old Testament.

At the time of the French Revolution, the country was swept by the propaganda of the Age of Reason—just as mystical, and just as little understood by its dupes, as the apocalyptic ravings of Cromwell's Calvinistic preachers. The Russian tragedy of 1917 was finally consummated through the agency of the black magician Rasputin.

For years past, Great Britain has been inundated with Believers in Pyramid prophecies, Leagues of World Servers, and other shadowy Brotherhoods. [*]

Some of these number their followers in millions, and advertise on the grand scale in newspapers of large circulation which are highly selective in their acceptance of advertisements. Others are less obtrusive. Their ostensible chief officials are generally simple and sincere believers in the doctrines which they propagate, which, however else they may differ invariably have two factors in common. They tend to paralyse judgment by suggesting that events are inescapable. And so long as they are allowed to be popularised, they never criticise banking, Freemasonry, or the Jews. In the main the suggestion is that a body of wise persons, an Elder Brethren, is watching over us, and that they are responsible for these periodical New Orders, and we should accept them gratefully, and assist, without understanding, their aims.

Now I think that it does no harm to postulate the existence of these secret Moulders of Destiny, if only to deduce their character from their works. Cromwell's New Order (you have no doubt noticed the constant interpolation of Cromwell as a subject for admiration in the last year or so) began by vandalism of every possible kind: Anything of beauty was savagely attacked, mutilated or destroyed. With the pathetic interregnum of the Restoration, it was followed by the Whig Economy—child labour, the Factory System, the destruction of the countryside in lovely Lancashire, Staffordshire and Cheshire. Then came the French Revolution of which the Continental Freemasons openly claim to have been the organisers. The same savage attack on beauty and culture. Whatever faults the French aristocracy may have had as a class, they were certainly, by any standard, more admirable than Danton, Robespierre, and the 'sans-culottes.' They were more decorative, they had a vivid conception of the grace of life, and they died more bravely.

[*]It should not have escaped the notice of our readers that the current mysticism accompanying the continuing revolution is the elevation of 'science' to virtually supernatural position. "A pie in the sky when you die" has now become the imminent promise of populating first the nearer and soon after the more distant planets; from which, no doubt, expeditions to other stellar systems will be arranged. What more beneficent objective of universal slavery could be devised or even imagined?

—Editor, T.S.C.

The France which followed the revolution was ravaged by war, and subsequently, and up to the present time, has been a by-word for every description of financial and political corruption and mis-management.

About the same period a desperate effort was made to bring about the same state of affairs in England and thus complete the work of Cromwell. The Chartist and Peterloo Riots, and the Hungry Forties, can all be traced without any difficulty to Gold Standard Finance and those international organisations which were the repository of its Secrets. In 1848 Germany came under the same influence—and the Germany which has been, and is, the Pest of Europe, was born. Of Russia, Austria and Italy, we know the story.

What I am suggesting is two-fold. In the first place, if these Masters of Wisdom are to be judged by their works, every intervention they have made into human affairs is marked by a hatred of cultural leisure, a wholesale destruction of the best which an imperfect humanity has been able to evolve, together with a determined effort to level down so as to prevent levelling up, and an increase in the Power of Money. And in the second place, these results have been achieved by methods which I believe to be likely to compass, if persisted in, the final destruction of organised Society.

The fundamental characteristic of these methods is falsehood. "*Liberte, Egalite, Fraternite.*" "The interest of the individual must give way to that of the public," "A War to make the world safe for Democracy," (I refrain from the citation of the current spate).

The Great Critic of World Affairs said, "By their works, ye shall know them." I do not believe that there is any sounder test. And the characteristic of those works is that the end justifies the means, all of which are demonstrably aimed at the final enslavement of the race.

The Battle for Rhodesia

by Douglas Reed

In 1936 Mr. Reed warned the world in his book *INSANITY FAIR* of the coming Second World War and became increasingly aware of the political realities behind that war and its consequences. He wrote other books of warning, then retired from writing and took up residence in South Africa, but when he saw the pattern of destruction in Africa, which was advocated by Lenin as a major communist strategy but being carried out by the forces supposed to be opposed to communism, he wrote another book of warning. This predicts a Third World War, beginning in Southern Africa unless the war-makers in the U.N. and Washington and London can be thwarted. It is an excellent account of the situation as it has developed against Southern Africa and is based on personal knowledge of life there, on authentic information and on contacts with all manner of people, white and black.

Price 20/- posted

Third World War and Second Front

These two articles are being reprinted in leaflet form. The leaflet which includes a selected descriptive list of books will be free on request from K.R.P. PUBLICATIONS LTD., 245 Cann Hall Road, London, E.11, and should be given wide-spread distribution.

Contributions towards costs will be appreciated.

K.R.P. Publications Ltd., 245 Cann Hall Road, London, E.11.